EVALUATING THE COSTS AND CREDIT MARKET DYNAMICS OF OREGON'S CLEAN FUELS PROGRAM

Insights from the Oregon Clean Fuels Program for Sustainable Development

(Chukwuemeka Valentine Okolo, Andres Susaeta, Mindy Crandall, John Sessions)

Western Forest Economist Annual Meeting May 15 - 16 in Seattle, WA

COLLEGE OF FORESTRY

Sustainable Forest Management Department of Forest Engineering, Resources & Management



AGENDA

| 01 | <u>Introduction</u> | 07 | <u>Implemer</u> |
|----|------------------------|----|------------------|
| 02 | Problem | 08 | <u>Results</u> |
| 03 | <u>Literary Review</u> | 09 | <u>Analysis</u> |
| 04 | Framework | 10 | Recomme |
| 05 | <u>Hypothesis</u> | 11 | <u>Conclusio</u> |
| 06 | <u>Methodology</u> | 12 | Future R |

ntation

endations

Ŋ

<u>esearch</u>



INTRODUCTION

- Climate change Development of policies -reduce GHGs.
 - Oregon's Clean Fuels Program (CFP) in 2016 0
- Statewide market-based incentive program
 - Low-carbon fuel adoption through credit generation and trading for 0 surpassing CI benchmarks





INTRODUCTION

Goals of the Oregon Clean Fuels Program

• Reduce the CI of transport. Fuels by 10% (2015–2025).

- Encourage the use of:
 - Biofuels: Ethanol, biodiesel, renewable diesel
 - Electricity: EV charging incentives
 - Renewable Natural Gas (RNG) & Hydrogen



INTRODUCTION







HOW THE OREGON CLEAN FUELS PROGRAM WORKS

Set Carbon Intensity (CI) Targets Oregon DEQ sets annual CI reduction targets

> **Fuel Suppliers Report CI Values** Fuel producers/importers report fuel carbon intensity.

Clean Fuel Providers Generate Credits Low-carbon fuel suppliers earn credits below CI target.

Deficit Holders Buy Credits High-CI fuel suppliers must buy credits to offset excess.

> Credit Trading Market Balances Compliance Market-based credit trading enables flexible compliance.

> > **Oregon DEQ Monitors & Enforces Compliance** Ensures accurate reporting and enforces compliance.

> > > **Reduced Transportation Emissions** Program outcome: lower GHGs and cleaner air.

INVESTIGATING THE EFFICIENCY OF OREGON CFP IN CI REDUCTION

This study aims to explore the relationship between Cost of Oregon's CFP, credit markets and CI reduction as Manage by the Sate DEQ

Supplier and Demander Equations

 $D(P) = \alpha - \beta P + \lambda(Cost of Emissions Reductions)$

$$S(P) = \Upsilon + \delta P$$

Market Clearing Reduced-Form Equation

$$\alpha - \beta P^* + \lambda(Cost of Emis)$$
$$P^* = \frac{\alpha - \Upsilon + \lambda(Cost of)}{P^*}$$

$$CI = \theta - \phi P + k(Low - C)$$

Framework



ssions Reductions) = $\Upsilon + \delta P^*$

f Emissions Reductions)

 $\beta + \delta$

CI Fuel Production)

 $CI = \theta - \phi P + k(Low - CI Fuel Production) + \gamma Z + \epsilon$

PRE-TEST & METHODOLOGY

Stationarity Test

Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF)

Test

• Phillips–Perron (PP) Test

 Quantile model (different distribution points (quantiles))

•Fully Modified Ordinary Least Squares (FMOLS)



Regression Techniques

Ordinary Least Squares (OLS)

ARCH model (non-constant error variance)

$CI_{ava} = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 S_t + \gamma_3 D_t + \epsilon_t$ **Mean Equation**

 $Q_T(CI_{ava} \setminus X) = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 S_t + \gamma_3 D_t + \epsilon_t$

 $CI_{avg} = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 Ave \ CFP \ Cost_t + \gamma_3 Bioenergy + \gamma_4 Total \ Credits_t$ EMPIRICAL $+ \gamma_5 Low Carbon Transport_t + \epsilon_t$

TECHNIQUE

 $CI_{ava} = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 Ave CFP Cost_t + \gamma_3 GBioenergy + \gamma_4 Total Credit Value_t$ $+ \gamma_{\exists}Low Carbon Transport_{t} + \epsilon_{t}$

 $CI_{ava} = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 Ave \ CFP \ Cost_t + \gamma_3 Bioenergy + \gamma_4 Avg \ Price \ per \ Credit_t$ $+ \gamma_5 Low Carbon Transport_t + \epsilon_t$

 $CI_{ava} = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 Ave CFP Cost_t + \gamma_3 Bioenergy + \gamma_4 Credits Transferred_t$ $+ \gamma_{\pm}Low Carbon Transport_{\pm} + \epsilon_{\pm}$

DATA AND VARIABLES

| Variables | Abbreviation | Definition |
|-------------------------|--------------|---|
| Ethanol CI | CI | Measures the grams of CC megajoule (gCO2e/MI) |
| Bioenergy | BIO | State-level innovation indetechnologies. |
| Total Credits | TC | Total number of emission generated or traded within |
| Total Credit Value | TCV | The total monetary value of within Oregon CFP. |
| Credits Transferred | СТ | Total credits transferred be the market. |
| Avg Price Per Credit | APPC | The average market price in the Oregon CFP. |
| Low-Carbon Transport | LCT | Innovation index in low-ca technologies. |
| Avg B5 CFP Cost | AB5C | Average compliance cost f under CFP. |
| Avg E10 CFP Cost | AE10C | Average compliance cost t under CFP. |

- O2 equivalent per
- ex in bioenergy
- reduction credits n CFP. of credits traded
- etween parties in
- for credits traded
- arbon transport
- for B5 biodiesel
- for E10 ethanol

Units

gCO2e/MJ

Index score

Number of credits

USD

Number of credits

USD

Index score

USD USD

| EIVIPIRICAL ANALY 313 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | BASELINE | ESTIMAT | ION RESU | JLTS | 111111000 | | | | | | | | |
| ugmented Dickey-Fuller Test for Stationarity (Unit Roots) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Variable | Test Statistic | 1% Critical | 5% Critical | 10% Critical | p-value | | | | | | | | |
| | | Value | Value | Value | · · | | | | | | | | |
| CIR 1(1) | -10.023 | -3.518 | -2.895 | -2.582 | 0.0000 | | | | | | | | |
| BIO 1(1) | -9.598 | -3.518 | -2.895 | -2.582 | 0.0000 | | | | | | | | |
| TC 1(1) | -13.872 | -3.511 | -2.891 | -2.580 | 0.0000 | | | | | | | | |
| TCV 1(1) | -13.435 | -3.511 | -2.891 | -2.580 | 0.0000 | | | | | | | | |
| APPC 1(1) | -9.714 | -3.516 | -2.893 | -2.582 | 0.0000 | | | | | | | | |
| CT1(1) | -12.052 | -3.516 | -2.893 | -2.582 | 0.0000 | | | | | | | | |
| LCT 1(1) | -9.615 | -3.518 | -2.895 | -2.582 | 0.0000 | | | | | | | | |
| AB5C 1(1) | -9.555 | -3.535 | -2.904 | -2.587 | 0.0000 | | | | | | | | |
| AE10C 1(1) | -9.559 | -3.535 | -2.904 | -2.587 | 0.0000 | | | | | | | | |

COLLEGE OF FORESTRY



1.1.1.1.1.1

PHILLIPS-PERRON TEST FOR STATIONARITY (UNIT

Phillips-Perron Test for Stationarity (Unit Roots)

| 1 mmps-1 eno | 11 1051 101 | Stational | ny (Omr I | (COUS) | | | | | |
|--------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Variable | Test Statis | tic | 1% Critic | al Value | 5% Critica | al Value | 10% Criti | cal Value | p-value |
| | Z(rho) | Z(t) | Z(rho) | Z(t) | Z(rho) | Z(t) | Z(rho) | Z(t) | |
| CIR 1(1) | -93.476 | -10.054 | -19.692 | -3.518 | -13.652 | -2.895 | -10.964 | -2.582 | 0.0000 |
| BIO 1(1) | -94.013 | -9.597 | -19.692 | -3.518 | -13.652 | -2.895 | -10.964 | -2.582 | 0.0000 |
| TC 1(1) | -103.827 | -18.045 | -19.782 | -3.511 | -13.692 | -2.891 | -10.994 | -2.580 | 0.0000 |
| TCV 1(1) | -101.212 | -16.985 | -19.782 | -3.511 | -13.692 | -2.891 | -10.994 | -2.580 | 0.0000 |
| APPC 1(1) | -72.248 | -10.268 | -19.728 | -3.516 | -13.668 | -2.893 | -10.976 | -2.582 | 0.0000 |
| CT1(1) | -89.158 | -14.589 | -19.728 | -3.516 | -13.668 | -2.893 | -10.976 | -2.582 | 0.0000 |
| LCT 1(1) | -94.049 | -9.615 | -19.692 | -3.518 | -13.652 | -2.895 | -10.964 | -2.582 | 0.0000 |
| AB5C 1(1) | -82.327 | -9.611 | -19.476 | -3.535 | -13.556 | -2.904 | -10.892 | -2.587 | 0.0000 |
| AE10C 1(1) | -82.323 | -9.616 | -19.476 | -3.535 | -13.556 | -2.904 | -10.892 | -2.587 | 0.0000 |
| | | | | | | | | | |



JOHANSEN TESTS FOR COINTEGRATION

| Johansen tests for cointegration | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|----------|------------|----------|---------------|--|--|--|
| Rank | Number of | Log- | Eigenvalue | Trace | Critical | Max | Max | Conclusion | | | |
| | Parameters | Likelihood | | Statistic | Value | Eigenvalue | Critical | | | | |
| | | (LL) | | | (5%) | Statistic | Value | | | | |
| | | | | | | | (5%) | | | | |
| 0 | 130 | 385.17 | - | 114.63 | 68.52 | 56.06 | 33.46 | Evidence of | | | |
| | | | | | | | | cointegration | | | |
| 1 | 139 | 413.2 | 0.51262 | 58.57 | 47.21 | 36.63 | 27.07 | Evidence of | | | |
| | | | | | | | | cointegration | | | |



JOHANSEN TESTS FOR COINTEGRATION (RANK



Max Critical Value (5%)

JOHANSEN TESTS FOR COINTEGRATION (RANK



COINTEGRATION REGRESSION (FMOLS)

| Connegration regress | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| Lagged CI | 0.780^{***} | 0.780^{***} | 0.774*** | 0.774*** | 0.779*** | 0.776*** | 0.778*** | 0.778*** |
| | (0.0356) | (0.0357) | (0.0340) | (0.0340) | (0.0373) | (0.0373) | (0.0326) | (0.0326) |
| BIO | -0.136*** | -0.136*** | -0.140*** | -0.140*** | -0.139*** | -0.140*** | -0.126*** | -0.127*** |
| | (0.0403) | (0.0403) | (0.0383) | (0.0383) | (0.0421) | (0.0419) | (0.0361) | (0.0361) |
| LCT | -0.0101*** | -0.0102*** | -0.0104^{***} | -0.0104*** | -0.0101^{***} | -0.0101*** | -0.00917*** | -0.00921*** |
| | (0.00274) | (0.00274) | (0.00259) | (0.00258) | (0.00287) | (0.00286) | (0.00248) | (0.00248) |
| AB5C | -0.00269*** | | -0.00281*** | | -0.00269*** | | -0.00298*** | |
| | (0.000545) | | (0.000514) | | (0.000572) | | (0.000496) | |
| AE10C | | -0.00306*** | | -0.00321*** | | -0.00311*** | | -0.00340*** |
| | | (0.000620) | | (0.000585) | | (0.000649) | | (0.000565) |
| TC | -0.00162*** | -0.00162*** | | | | | | |
| | (0.000429) | (0.000429) | | | | | | |
| TCV | | | -0.00103*** | -0.00102*** | | | | |
| | | | (0.000261) | (0.000261) | | | | |
| CT | | | | | -0.00180*** | -0.00180*** | | |
| | | | | | (0.000516) | (0.000514) | | |
| APPC | | | | | | | -0.00270** | -0.00268** |
| | | | | | | | (0.000952) | (0.000952) |
| Constant | 1.319*** | 1.322*** | 1.355*** | 1.356*** | 1.334*** | 1.348*** | 1.292*** | 1.295*** |
| | (0.226) | (0.226) | (0.216) | (0.216) | (0.236) | (0.236) | (0.203) | (0.204) |
| Adjusted R2 | 0.95324 | 0.95362 | 0.95266 | 0.95266 | 0.95873 | 0.95914 | 0.95447 | 0.95448 |
| Long run S.E. | 0.00649 | 0.00649 | 0.00612 | 0.00612 | 0.00679 | 0.00677 | 0.00585 | 0.00585 |
| Bandwidth | 17.0138 | 16.7796 | 18.0184 | 17.9477 | 13.9830 | 13.4786 | 17.7105 | 17.4884 |
| Observations | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 |

COINTEGRATION REGRESSION (FMOLS)



OLS - THE IMPACT OF AVERAGE COST OF THE CFP (B5 COST) ON CI

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
|-------------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|
| Lagged CI | | 0.776*** | RED | UC _{0.7} , ON | | 0.777*** | | 0.777*** |
| | | (0.0754) | | (0.0764) | | (0.0758) | | (0.0772) |
| E10 | -0.707*** | -0.119 | -0.715*** | -0.126 | -0.702*** | -0.116 | -0.686*** | -0.113 |
| | (0.0967) | (0.0853) | (0.0957) | (0.0862) | (0.0976) | (0.0857) | (0.0972) | (0.0859) |
| LCT | -0.0212** | -0.00959 | -0.0217** | -0.00990 | -0.0211** | -0.00957 | -0.0181** | -0.00872 |
| | (0.00871) | (0.00582) | (0.00862) | (0.00583) | (0.00878) | (0.00586) | (0.00882) | (0.00591) |
| AB5C | -0.0125*** | -0.00293** | -0.0125*** | -0.00302** | -0.0125*** | -0.00294** | -0.0130*** | -0.00318*** |
| | (0.00105) | (0.00116) | (0.00103) | (0.00116) | (0.00107) | (0.00117) | (0.000997) | (0.00118) |
| TC | -0.00408*** | -0.00154* | | | | | | |
| | (0.00116) | (0.000797) | | | | | | |
| TCV | | | -0.00282*** | -0.00100^{*} | | | | |
| | | | (0.000747) | (0.000527) | | | | |
| CT | | | | | -0.00442*** | -0.00166* | | |
| | | | | | (0.00128) | (0.000883) | | |
| APPC | | | | | | | -0.00897*** | -0.00272 |
| | | | | | | | (0.00276) | (0.00193) |
| Constant | 5.930*** | 1.293*** | 5.952*** | 1.327*** | 5.921*** | 1.283*** | 5.851*** | 1.264** |
| | (0.240) | (0.477) | (0.237) | (0.484) | (0.242) | (0.479) | (0.241) | (0.483) |
| F-Statistics | 240.42 | 469.06 | 245.51 | 468.29 | 235.55 | 460.85 | 231.44 | 443.19 |
| Probability Value | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| R-squared | 0.9241 | 0.9678 | 0.9255 | 0.9678 | 0.9235 | 0.9677 | 0.9223 | 0.9664 |
| Root MSE | .02105 | .01379 | .02085 | .01381 | .02121 | .01389 | .02108 | .01394 |
| VIF | 1.83 | 5.55 | 1.81 | 5.62 | 1.85 | 5.52 | 1.71 | 5.48 |
| Durbin-Watson Statistic | | 1.8128(6,84) | | 1.8183(6,84) | | 1.8026(6,83) | | 1.8425(6,83) |
| Breusch–Godfrey LM test | | 1.244(0.2647) | | 1.190(0.2753) | | 1.351(0.2451) | | 0.766(0.3816) |
| Breusch–Pagan Test | 0.9534 | 0.0017 | 0.9138 | 0.0020 | 0.9990 | 0.0018 | 0.8633 | 0.0031 |
| White's Test | 0.0040 | 0.0080 | 0.0027 | 0.0090 | 0.0046 | 0.0073 | 0.0000 | 0.0184 |
| Number of Observations | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 |

| OLS - THE I | MPACT | OF AVE | RAGE | COST OF ' | THE CF | P (E10 | COST) O | N CI |
|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| ^ | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| Lagged CI | | 0.776*** | REDU | | | 0.777*** | | 0.776*** |
| BIO | -0.707*** | -0.120 | -0.714*** | -0.126 | -0.701*** | -0.116 | -0.686*** | -0.113 |
| | (0.0966) | (0.0854) | (0.0956) | (0.0862) | (0.0975) | (0.0857) | (0.0971) | (0.0859) |
| LCT | -0.0213** | -0.00961 | -0.0218** | -0.00992 | -0.0212** | -0.00960 | -0.0182** | -0.00875 |
| | (0.00870) | (0.00582) | (0.00861) | (0.00583) | (0.00877) | (0.00586) | (0.00881) | (0.00591) |
| AE10C | -0.0142*** | -0.00334** | -0.0142*** | -0.00344** | -0.0142*** | -0.00334** | -0.0148*** | -0.00362*** |
| | (0.00119) | (0.00132) | (0.00117) | (0.00132) | (0.00121) | (0.00133) | (0.00113) | (0.00134) |
| TC | -0.00404*** | -0.00153* | | | | | | |
| | (0.00116) | (0.000797) | | | | | | |
| TCV | | | -0.00279*** | -0.000995* | | | | |
| | | | (0.000747) | (0.000527) | | | | |
| CT | | | ``´´´ | | -0.00438*** | -0.00165* | | |
| | | | | | (0.00128) | (0.000883) | | |
| APPC | | | | | | | -0.00887*** | -0.00270 |
| | | | | | | | (0.00275) | (0.00192) |
| Constant | 5.928*** | 1.295*** | 5.951*** | 1.329*** | 5.919*** | 1.286*** | 5.850*** | 1.267** |
| | (0.239) | (0.478) | (0.237) | (0.484) | (0.241) | (0.480) | (0.241) | (0.484) |
| F-Statistics | 241.12 | 469.06 | 246.15 | 468.29 | 236.27 | 460.88 | 232.07 | 443.21 |
| Probability Value | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| R-squared | 0.9243 | 0.9678 | 0.9257 | 0.9678 | 0.9238 | 0.9677 | 0.9225 | 0.9664 |
| Root MSE | .02102 | .01379 | .02082 | .01381 | .02118 | .01389 | .02105 | .01394 |
| VIF | 1.84 | 5.56 | 1.81 | 5.64 | 1.86 | 5.54 | 1.71 | 5.50 |
| Durbin-Watson statistic | | 1.8125(6,84) | | 1.8180(6,84) | | 1.8024(6,83) |) | 1.8422(6,83) |
| Breusch-Godfrey LM test | | 1.251(0.2633) | | 1.197(0.2740) | | 1.357(0.2441 |) | 0.772(0.3796) |
| Breusch–Pagan test | 0.9387 | 0.0017 | 0.8997 | 0.0020 | 0.9834 | 0.0018 | 0.8509 | 0.0031 |
| White's test | 0.0038 | 0.0081 | 0.0026 | 0.0091 | 0.0045 | 0.0074 | 0.0000 | 0.0185 |
| Number of Observations | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 |

| ARCH | - THE IM | PACT O | | | E COST | OF THE | CFP ON | |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| CI | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (3) | (0) | () | (0) |
| BIO | 0.846*** | 0.846*** | | UCTION | 0.83/*** | 0 825*** | 0.821*** | 0.821*** |
| ыо | -0.040 | (0.0382) | -0.845 | (0.0352) | -0.834 | -0.825 | (0.0348) | (0.0344) |
| ТСТ | (0.0399) | 0.0272*** | 0.0265*** | 0.0263*** | 0.0268*** | 0.0262*** | 0.0246*** | (0.0344) 0.0247*** |
| LCI | -0.0274 | -0.0272 | (0.0203) | (0.0203) | -0.0208 | -0.0202 | (0.0240) | (0.0247) |
| AD5C | 0.0125*** | (0.00410) | 0.0128*** | (0.00370) | 0.0124*** | (0.00404) | (0.00347) | (0.00342) |
| ADJU | -0.0133 | | -0.0136 | | -0.0134 | | -0.0137 | |
| AE10C | (0.000422) | 0.0155*** | (0.000300) | 0.0157*** | (0.000408) | 0.0152*** | (0.000419) | 0.0155*** |
| ALIOC | | -0.0133 | | -0.0137 | | -0.0133 | | -0.0155 |
| тс | 0.001.42** | (0.000433) | | (0.000410) | | (0.000443) | | (0.000480) |
| IC | -0.00143 | -0.00128 | | | | | | |
| TOV | (0.000337) | (0.000377) | 0 000752*** | 0 000727*** | | | | |
| ICV | | | -0.000753 | -0.000/3/ | | | | |
| ст | | | (0.000218) | (0.000219) | 0 001 00*** | 0.00010*** | | |
| CI | | | | | -0.00162 | -0.00219 | | |
| ADDC | | | | | (0.000524) | (0.000360) | 0.00/07*** | 0.00/7.4*** |
| APPC | | | | | | | -0.0068 / | -0.006/4 |
| <u> </u> | < 077*** | < 070*** | < 250*** | C 0 40*** | C 0 47*** | C 007*** | (0.000955) | (0.000952) |
| Constant | 6.277 | 6.2/3 | 6.259 | 6.248 | 6.24/ | 6.227 | 6.211 | 6.211 |
| | (0.123) | (0.116) | (0.111) | (0.106) | (0.119) | (0.106) | (0.102) | (0.101) |
| ARCH | | | | | | | | |
| Larch | 1.520*** | 1.582*** | 1.636*** | 1.638*** | 1.474*** | 1.438*** | 1.507*** | 1.505*** |
| | (0.343) | (0.340) | (0.330) | (0.327) | (0.335) | (0.378) | (0.339) | (0.337) |
| Constant | 0.0000138 | 0.0000105 | 0.00000734 | 0.00000709 | 0.0000167 | 0.0000228 | 0.00000537 | 0.00000537 |
| | (0.0000871) | (0.0000893) | (0.00000664) | (0.00000625) | (0.0000871) | (0.0000149) | (0.0000281) | (0.00000275) |
| Wald chi2(4) | 13111.54 | 19329.25 | 19558.74 | 17691.99 | 10964.01 | 11703.63 | 7246.43 | 7122.79 |
| Probability | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Log-likelihood | 230.1127 | 230.2223 | 230.9511 | 231.0593 | 226.7829 | 226.7689 | 231.2652 | 231.1364 |
| Observations | 0 / | 01 | 01 | 0 / | 02 | 00 | 02 | 02 |

.25 QUANTILE - THE IMPACT OF AVERAGE COST OF THE CFP ON CI

| .25 Quantile regression | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| BIO | -0.457*** | -0.455*** | -0.4cc* · | 0.4.5 <i>!</i> ** | -0.453*** | -0.451*** | -0.647*** | -0.646*** |
| | (0.151) | (0.150) | (0.132) | (0.132) | (0.149) | (0.149) | (0.118) | (0.117) |
| LCT | -0.0101 | -0.0102 | -0.0125 | -0.0125 | -0.00949 | -0.00962 | -0.0479*** | -0.0480*** |
| | (0.0136) | (0.0135) | (0.0119) | (0.0119) | (0.0134) | (0.0134) | (0.0107) | (0.0107) |
| AB5C | -0.0152*** | | -0.0151*** | | -0.0152*** | | -0.0129*** | |
| | (0.00163) | | (0.00143) | | (0.00163) | | (0.00120) | |
| AE10C | 5 × | -0.0173*** | | -0.0172*** | ~ · · | -0.0173*** | | -0.0147*** |
| | | (0.00185) | | (0.00162) | | (0.00185) | | (0.00137) |
| TC | -0.00346* | -0.00342* | | | | 7 | | ъ <i>с</i> |
| | (0.00180) | (0.00180) | | | | | | |
| TCV | × / | × / | -0.00250** | -0.00247** | | | | |
| | | | (0.00103) | (0.00103) | | | | |
| CT | | | × / | · / | -0.00346* | -0.00341* | | |
| | | | | | (0.00196) | (0.00196) | | |
| APPC | | | | | ~ / | | -0.0105*** | -0.0104*** |
| | | | | | | | (0.00333) | (0.00333) |
| Constant | 5.252*** | 5.248*** | 5.283*** | 5.277*** | 5.238*** | 5.234*** | 6.050*** | 6.048*** |
| | (0.373) | (0.372) | (0.328) | (0.328) | (0.369) | (0.368) | (0.291) | (0.291) |
| Raw sum of deviations | 1.794951 | 1.794951 | 1.794951 | 1.794951 | 1.793222 | 1.793222 | 1.751402 | 1.751402 |
| Min sum of deviations | .537982 | .5378936 | .5239388 | .5240265 | .5354404 | .5352256 | .5105647 | .5107544 |
| Pseudo R2 | 0.7003 | 0.7003 | 0.7081 | 0.7081 | 0.7014 | 0.7015 | 0.7085 | 0.7084 |
| Number of Observations | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 |



| .50 QUAN | FILE - T | HE IMP | ACT OF | AVERA | GE CO | ST OF ⁻ | THE CFP | ON CI |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------|------------|------------|
| .50 Ouantile regression | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| BIO | -0.768*** | -0.770*** | -0.7 · * | - J. / Ó * | -0.761*** | -0.763*** | -0.704*** | -0.707*** |
| | (0.0882) | (0.0867) | (0.104) | (0.102) | (0.0923) | (0.0932) | (0.112) | (0.111) |
| LCT | -0.00833 | -0.00838 | -0.00966 | -0.00966 | -0.00704 | -0.00709 | -0.00291 | -0.00304 |
| | (0.00794) | (0.00781) | (0.00941) | (0.00922) | (0.00831) | (0.00838) | (0.0101) | (0.0101) |
| AB5C | -0.0126*** | | -0.0130*** | | -0.0133*** | | -0.0139*** | |
| | (0.000956) | | (0.00113) | | (0.00101) | | (0.00114) | |
| AE10C | | -0.0143*** | | -0.0147*** | | -0.0150*** | | -0.0157*** |
| | | (0.00107) | | (0.00126) | | (0.00116) | | (0.00129) |
| TC | -0.00349*** | -0.00345*** | | | | | | |
| | (0.00105) | (0.00104) | | | | | | |
| TCV | | | -0.00152* | -0.00151* | | | | |
| | | | (0.000816) | (0.000801) | | | | |
| CT | | | | | -0.00204* | -0.00200* | | |
| | | | | | (0.00122) | (0.00123) | | |
| APPC | | | | | | | -0.00469 | -0.00459 |
| | | | | | | | (0.00316) | (0.00315) |
| Constant | 5.934*** | 5.939*** | 5.952*** | 5.951*** | 5.892*** | 5.896*** | 5.725*** | 5.733*** |
| | (0.218) | (0.215) | (0.259) | (0.254) | (0.228) | (0.231) | (0.277) | (0.275) |
| Raw sum of deviations | 2.635532 | 2.635532 | 2.635532 | 2.635532 | 2.620674 | 2.620674 | 2.56403 | 2.56403 |
| Min sum of deviations | .6136059 | .6129506 | .6056751 | .6053263 | .6167313 | .6163539 | .6045176 | .6043123 |
| Pseudo R2 | 0.7672 | 0.7674 | 0.7702 | 0.7703 | 0.7647 | 0.7648 | 0.7642 | 0.7643 |
| Number of Observations | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 |

COLLEGE OF FORESTRY



- market

| .75 QUAN' | TILE - 1 | | РАСТ О | FAVER | AGE CO | ST OF T | HE CFP | ON CI |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| .75 Quantile regression | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| BIO | -0.836*** | -0.838*** | -0.849*** | -(1, 5)(*** | -0.839*** | -0.840*** | -0.822*** | -0.822*** |
| | (0.115) | (0.115) | (0.119) | (0.118) | (0.116) | (0.116) | (0.145) | (0.142) |
| LCT | -0.00147 | -0.00153 | -0.00322 | -0.00332 | -0.00243 | -0.00246 | -0.00291 | -0.00306 |
| | (0.0104) | (0.0104) | (0.0107) | (0.0106) | (0.0104) | (0.0104) | (0.0132) | (0.0129) |
| AB5C | -0.0128*** | | -0.0127*** | | -0.0125*** | | -0.0133*** | |
| | (0.00125) | | (0.00128) | | (0.00127) | | (0.00149) | |
| AE10C | | -0.0144*** | | -0.0145*** | | -0.0143*** | | -0.0152*** |
| | | (0.00142) | | (0.00145) | | (0.00144) | | (0.00165) |
| TC | -0.00235* | -0.00233* | | | | | | |
| | (0.00138) | (0.00138) | | | | | | |
| TCV | | | -0.00160 | -0.00157 | | | | |
| | | | (0.000928) | (0.000924) | | | | |
| CT | | | | | -0.00401** | -0.00393** | | |
| | | | | | (0.00153) | (0.00153) | | |
| APPC | | | | | | | -0.00207 | -0.00196 |
| | | | | | | | (0.00412) | (0.00403) |
| Constant | 6.015*** | 6.018*** | 6.061*** | 6.063*** | 6.048*** | 6.049*** | 5.987*** | 5.987*** |
| | (0.285) | (0.285) | (0.295) | (0.293) | (0.287) | (0.287) | (0.360) | (0.352) |
| Raw sum of deviations | 2.09603 | 2.09603 | 2.09603 | 2.09603 | 2.064991 | 2.064991 | 2.05525 | 2.05525 |
| Min sum of deviations | .4522363 | .4510645 | .4509423 | .4498267 | .4539622 | .4525811 | .4505775 | .4494983 |
| Pseudo R2 | 0.7842 | 0.7848 | 0.7849 | 0.7854 | 0.7802 | 0.7808 | 0.7808 | 0.7813 |
| Number of Observations | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 |



KEY DRIVERS OF CI REDUCTION

 Bioenergy adoption significantly contributes to CI reduction.

Low-carbon transport options play a critical role.

 Average B5 CFP cost and E10 CFP are strong indicators of Cl improvement.







MARKET-BASED MECHANISMS

 Credit transferred and total credit value reflect market activity.

Average credit prices influence stakeholder behavior.

 Financial incentives are aligned with environmental goals.





MARKET-BASED MECHANISMS



POLICY IMPLICATIONS

 Expand CFP credit opportunities for advanced biofuels with low lifecycle emissions.

 Support research and development to improve feedstock conversion efficiency and reduce production costs.

• Create targeted incentives or subsidies for biorefineries using regionally abundant feedstocks (e.g., woody





POLICY IMPLICATIONS

 Integrate fuel switching (biofuels) with vehicle electrification & public transit expansion.

 Promote infrastructure development (e.g., renewable diesel pumps, EV chargers).



POLICY IMPLICATIONS • Use average CFP credit prices as indicators of clean fuel market effectiveness.

 Ensure price transparency and stability in credit markets to attract investment.



